

Kauri Dieback Social Science Research

Problem Definition : Human behaviour is a key vector of Kauri Dieback spread due to the movement of soil via human activity.

Objective: Conduct social science research that informs the KDBP on how to motivate people to engage in kauri protective behaviours.

Findings	Insights from Existing Research	Knowledge Gaps and Limitations	Recommendations
Behavioural	⇒ Cleaning station design significantly increases compliance rates (peak = 98% at Mark II station) .	⇒ Inconsistency in cleaning station design and maintenance across different locations.	⇒ Standardisation of cleaning station design and communication materials, enhancing both research rigour and perceived cohesiveness of the programme.
	⇒ Other kauri protective behaviours (e.g., staying on track/cleaning at home) occur at lower rates as compared to cleaning station behaviours.	⇒ Lack of research and observational data on other kauri protective behaviours (including off-track).	⇒ Journey mapping of end-to-end user experience (both on/off track behaviours).
		⇒ No exploration of ground-up behaviours that may not be readily visible to management experts.	⇒ Qualitative exploration of community solutions.
Psychological	⇒ Awareness and knowledge of KDB have significantly increased over time and are overall high.	⇒ Limited knowledge of underlying values, beliefs and attitudes that can explain people’s perception of kauri and KDB protective behaviours.	⇒ Measurement of psychographic variables (e.g., fundamental values and beliefs) using qualitative exploration followed by statistical segmentation methods.
	⇒ While people generally value kauri and its protection, there is some doubt in the effectiveness and achievability of kauri protective behaviours.	⇒ Lack of research examining the link between psychological and behavioural variables.	⇒ Application of theory and statistical analyses to examine key variable relationships.
Sociocultural	⇒ Many engage in kauri protective behaviours because they feel it is ‘the right thing to do.’	⇒ Limited knowledge of the influence of ‘social norms’ on KBD behaviour and perceptions.	⇒ Identification and in-situ testing of various social norm theories on cleaning station design and communication materials.
	⇒ Some hesitate to perform kauri protective behaviours due to doubt in others’ commitment (be it other forest users or management authorities).	⇒ Lack of research exploring public perception of the KDB culture and brand more broadly.	⇒ Conduct Social Impact Assessments of KDBP with key effected communities.
		⇒ No research on whether KDBP has a social licence to operate, particularly in effected communities.	⇒ Content analysis for overall public perception of the KDB programme and culture.
Group Differences	⇒ Some evidence to suggest that different audiences have different needs and perspectives relating to KDB and its controls, which effects their willingness to engage in kauri protective behaviours.	⇒ Limited visibility on different audiences and how they differ in their values and beliefs.	⇒ Have Deliberative Consultation with different audiences (as identified by segmentation research) to co-design and conduct research and solutions.
		⇒ Few statistically significant group analyses founded in social scientific theory.	⇒ Align communication with group values and beliefs in addition to demographics.
Methods	Most of the research has used self-report survey methods with a few experimental designs regarding cleaning station behaviour. Some studies have employed qualitative exploration through interviews and focus groups.	A large degree of variability in metrics and analytical processes across studies makes reliable comparison difficult. A lack of theoretical justification renders most of the insights ‘descriptive’, not offering explanations for the ‘why’.	Establish social science best practice guidelines and employ across programme. Key quantitative research should include: the use of relevant theory, robust and consistent metrics, consistent sample sizing and statistical analyses.